

Appendix B

Scope, Procedures and Methods of the EA Review

IN THE MATTER OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ACT S.B.C. 2002, c.43

AND

**AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE
JUMBO GLACIER RESORT PROJECT (the "PROJECT")**

ORDER UNDER SECTION 11

WHEREAS:

- A. In April 1991, Glacier Resorts Ltd. (the "Proponent"), submitted a formal expression of interest in developing the skiing potential of the upper Jumbo Creek valley to the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (BC Lands Division) who, following an initial public and agency review, issued a call for proposals to undertake development in the area under the Commercial Alpine Ski Policy;
- B. In March 1993, the Proponent's proposal (February) to develop a ski resort in Jumbo Creek valley (55 km west of Invermere, British Columbia) was accepted and the Proponent was granted sole proponent status. However, consideration under the Commercial Alpine Ski Policy was deferred pending the completion of a Kootenay land-use plan by the Commission on Resources and the Environment;
- C. In October 1994, the Commission on Resources and the Environment recommended that the area of the proposed ski resort be subject to an environmental assessment;
- D. In March 1995, the East Kootenay Land Use Plan identified ski resort development as a potentially acceptable use of the upper Jumbo Creek valley area and included that area in the Jumbo-Upper Horsethief Special Resource Management Zone;
- E. In June 30, 1995 the *Environmental Assessment Act*, R.S.B.C. 1996, c.119 was proclaimed, and consideration of the Proposal under Commercial Alpine Ski Policy was put on hold pending completion of the environmental assessment (Transition Order M 364 required that the Project be accepted for review and proceed in a review from the step identified under section 8(1));
- F. In July 1995, the Proponent submitted an application for a project approval certificate and the Environmental Assessment Office (the "EAO") conducted a 75-day formal public comment period from July 15, 1995 to September 28, 1995 and hosted public meetings in Invermere, B.C. and Nelson, B.C. (September 14 and 20, 1995);
- G. On December 13, 1996, the EAO issued Draft Project Report Specifications (including issues identified by the public and the Jumbo Glacier Project Committee's responses to them) and a consultation adequacy assessment report, and conducted a 65-day public comment period on the Draft Project Report Specifications from December 18, 1996 to February 20, 1997;
- H. On May 20, 1998, the EAO issued Final Project Report Specifications ("Project Report Specifications");
- I. On September 4, 2003, Glacier Resorts Ltd. (the Proponent) informed the EAO that the name of the Project was changed to the Jumbo Glacier Resort Project;

- J. On December 30, 2002, the *Environmental Assessment Act*, S.B.C. 2002, c.43 (the "Act") was proclaimed, and Transition Order #02-09 issued by the Executive Director indicating that: the project have an environmental assessment certificate; the Proponent could not proceed without an assessment; and the previous review process would be replaced by one to be identified in an order to be issued under section 11 of the Act which would also specify the process for consulting with members of the former project committee and others;
- K. On December 30, 2002, the Executive Director of the EAO, in accordance with section 4 of the Act, delegated certain statutory and regulatory powers and duties to the undersigned Project Assessment Director (the "Director");
- L. On January 27, 2004, the Executive Director of the EAO issued a Time Limit Extension Order to enable the EAO to conduct a thorough and timely review of the additional information required to complete the environmental assessment of the Project as a basis for a decision by Ministers.

NOW THEREFORE:

I order that the name of the Project be changed to the Jumbo Glacier Resort Project (the Project) and that, pursuant to section 11 of the Act, the environmental assessment of the Project be conducted according to the scope, procedures and methods set out in Schedule A.



Martyn Glassman
Project Assessment Director

Dated January 27, 2004 at Victoria, British Columbia

SCHEDULE A

SCOPE, PROCEDURES AND METHODS FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE JUMBO GLACIER RESORT PROJECT

The proposal to construct and operate the Jumbo Glacier Resort Project is a reviewable project within the meaning of Part 9 of the *Reviewable Projects Regulation* (B.C. Reg. 370/2002) and therefore requires review under the *Environmental Assessment Act* (S.B.C. 2002, c. 43).

1. DEFINITIONS FOR SCHEDULE A

“Act”	has the same meaning as in section J of this Order;
“Additional Information”	Means, in accordance with section 4.1.1(a) of this Order, the information described in the Project report specifications with the exception of information described in Project report specification D.2(A) #4 which, in the opinion of the Executive Director, cannot be feasibly provided by the Proponent in the absence of a drilling exploration program for groundwater;
“Application”	means the Proponent’s application for an environmental assessment certificate for the Project, made under section 16 of the Act, as described in section 2 of this Order;
“Assessment Report”	means the report referred to in section 5.3.1 of this Order;
“Director”	has the same meaning as in section K of this Order;
“Environmental Assessment Office” or “EAO”	means the office set up under section 2 of the Act;
“Lead Agency”	means the review participant identified as the lead agency for a given issue in the Project Report Specifications;
“Local Government Response Documents”	means the written responses to the Project referred to in section 5.2.15 of this Order;
“Ministers”	means the Minister of Sustainable Resource Management, the Minister of Water, Land and Air Protection, and the responsible minister;
“Order”	includes all pages of this Order, including this Schedule (Schedule A);
“Project”	means the proposed Jumbo Glacier Resort Project;
“Project Information Centre”	means the project information centre set up under section 25 of the Act;
“Project Report”	means the Proponent’s submission of Additional Information received by the EAO by December 31, 2003;
“Project Report Specifications”	means the Final Project Report Specifications referred to in section H of this Order;

“Project Report Supplement”	means the Proponent’s submission of a portion of the Additional Information referred to in section 5.1.3 of this Order. This is to include the Proponent’s response to information requirement D.3 (D);
“Proponent”	means Glacier Resorts Ltd.;
“Proponent Responses”	means the Proponent’s responses to written comments referred to in section 5.2.13 of this Order;
“Public Comment Period”	means the period for formal public comment referred to in section 6.3.4 of this Order;
“Public Consultation Assessment”	means the assessment of public consultation conducted in accordance with section 6.3.1 of this Order;
“Report on Public Views and Interests”	means the report referred to in section 6.3.9 of this Order;
“review participants”	means any or all of the parties to the assessment of the Project, including the public, First Nations, government agencies and/or the Proponent;
“Technical Advisory Committee”	means the committee referred to in section 5.2.1 of this Order; and
“Technical Working Groups”	means the working groups referred to in section 5.2.3 of this Order.

2. APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION

2.1. The Application for an environmental assessment certificate from Glacier Resorts Limited (Proponent) consists of the following documents:

- Jumbo Glacier Alpine Resort: a study in compliance with the Commercial Alpine Ski Policy and in compliance with the requirements for the Project Report according to the Environmental Assessment Act of British Columbia (Volumes 1 and 2): June 2, 1995. Prepared by Pheidias Project Management Corporation.
- Jumbo Glacier Alpine Resort, Volumes 3 (Appendices A – O): June 2, 1995. Prepared by Pheidias Project Management Corporation.
- Jumbo Glacier Alpine Resort, Volumes 4 (Appendix P): June 2, 1995. Prepared by Pheidias Project Management Corporation.
- Jumbo Glacier Alpine Resort, Volumes 5 (Appendix P con’t): June 2, 1995. Prepared by Pheidias Project Management Corporation.

and must be supplemented by the Proponent with Additional Information.

3. SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

3.1. The assessment of the Project will include the following on-site and off-site components and activities as described in the Project Report Specifications (Appendix A):

- 3.1.1 the construction and operation of all on-site and off-site facilities which are installed exclusively or primarily for the benefit of this project; and
- 3.1.2 associated activities related to (or attributable to) resort operations, including:
 - a) the use of physical infrastructure built in the Toby/Jumbo Creek drainages;

- b) use of, or impacts on, infrastructure and services provided in the surrounding region; and
- c) impacts on First Nations traditional use of the area in the vicinity of the project.

3.2. Specifically:

- 3.2.1 On-site Facilities - Definition of the project is deemed to include the design, construction and operation of the following at the resort site itself:
 - a) ski runs, including circulation corridors;
 - b) ski lift system;
 - c) ski run maintenance facilities;
 - d) rescue facilities;
 - e) ski lodge;
 - f) ski village;
 - g) hotels, condominiums, townhouses, chalets, single family dwellings;
 - h) on-site road system;
 - i) water supply systems for all on-site components;
 - j) solid waste disposal systems for all on-site components, including on-site (and if necessary, off-site) disposal facilities and systems;
 - k) liquid waste disposal systems for all on-site components, including on-site (and if necessary, off-site) disposal facilities and systems; and
 - l) relevant operational, environmental design and public safety considerations.
- 3.2.2 Off-site Physical Infrastructure in the Toby/Jumbo Creek Drainages - Definition of the Project is deemed to include the design, construction and operation of the following off-site physical infrastructure:
 - a) access road from Invermere to the proposed resort, with particular focus up valley from the Panorama ski resort;
 - b) bridges along the access road;
 - c) related facilities such as gravel/borrow pits and maintenance yards (if any);
 - d) proposed off-site parking facilities (e.g., near the Mineral King mine site);
 - e) the proposed electric transmission line hook-up to the integrated grid;
 - f) telephone communications;
 - g) any other project components for which an off-site location is proposed; and
 - h) relevant operational, environmental design and public safety considerations.
- 3.2.3 On-site Activities and Services and Off-site Activities - Definition of the project is deemed to include:
 - a) activities based at, or originating from, the resort at each season, including those, if any, which would extend off-site to surrounding areas (e.g., cross-country skiing, hiking, etc. - the proponent will be responsible for identifying any such activities); and
 - b) on-site services required to operate the resort community.

4. SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT

- 4.1.1 The assessment of the Project will include consideration of those potential environmental, economic, social, heritage and health effects identified in the Project Report Specifications as being within the scope of the assessment, excluding:
 - (a) any information described in Project report specification D.2(A)4 which, in the opinion of the Executive Director, cannot be feasibly provided by the Proponent in the absence of a drilling exploration program for groundwater.

- 4.1.2 The assessment will take into account practical means to prevent or reduce to an acceptable level any potential significant adverse effects.

5. ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

5.1. Accepting the Project Report for Review

- 5.1.1 The Proponent must supply an electronic version of the Project Report in an acceptable electronic format, and the number of hard copies indicated by the Director.
- 5.1.2 Within 30 days of receiving the Project Report, the Director will screen the Project Report to determine if it contains the Additional Information.
- 5.1.3 With the prior agreement of the Director, the Proponent may submit a portion of the Additional Information in a supplementary document (the "Project Report Supplement"), filed separately from, and after, the filing of the Project Report for screening.
- 5.1.4 The Director may establish any advisory mechanism determined necessary to assist with the screening of the Project Report (and Project Report Supplement).
- 5.1.5 Upon acceptance of the Project Report (or Project Report Supplement) for review, the Proponent must, if it has not already done so, submit to the Director an electronic version of the complete final form of the Project Report (or Project Report Supplement), in an acceptable electronic format, and hard copies (for use by the EAO) in the quantity indicated by the Director.
- 5.1.6 Pursuant to Transition Order #02-09, a review period of up to 180-days will begin upon receipt by the Director of those copies of the complete final form of the Project Report referred to in section 5.1.5 of this Order.

5.2. Reviewing the Project Report

Advising the Director on the Assessment

- 5.2.1 The Director will establish and chair a **Technical Advisory Committee** to advise the assessment.
- 5.2.2 The following agencies will be invited to participate on the Technical Advisory Committee:

Province of British Columbia

- a) Ministry of Sustainable Resource Management (SRM)
- b) Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (WLAP)
- c) Ministry of Small Business and Economic Development (SBED)
- d) Interior Health Authority (IHA)
- e) Land and Water British Columbia Inc. (LWBC)
- f) Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (MAFF)
- g) Ministry of Attorney General – Treaty Negotiations Office (MAG-TNO)
- h) Ministry of Community, Aboriginal and Women's Services (CAWS)
- i) Ministry of Energy and Mines (MEM)
- j) Ministry of Forests (MOF)
- k) Ministry of Transportation (MOT)

Regional and Local Government

- l) Regional District of the East Kootenay (RDEK)
- m) Regional District of the Central Kootenay (RDCK)
- n) District of Invermere (DOI)
- o) Village of Radium (VOR)

First Nations

- p) Ktunaxa Kinbasket Tribal Council (KKTC)
- q) Columbia Lake Indian Band (CLIB)
- r) Shuswap Indian Band (SIB)

- 5.2.3 The Director may, as necessary, establish and chair **Technical Working Groups** to assist with the assessment of specific issues.
- 5.2.4 Technical Working Group membership will be determined by the Director.
- 5.2.5 The nature and extent of agency involvement in the assessment will be guided by the issue profiles (including Lead Agency) contained in the Final Project Report Specifications (Appendix A). The EAO will assume lead agency status for issues where the Project Report Specifications assign that role to the project committee.
- 5.2.6 Members of the Technical Advisory Committee and Technical Working Groups, when requested by the Director, will undertake the following specific tasks from the perspective of the interests and/or program responsibilities of the organizations which they represent:
- a) review and comment on the Project Report (and Project Report Supplement);
 - b) review written comments on the Project Report (and Project Report Supplement);
 - c) review the Proponent's responses to written comments;
 - d) provide advice on issues raised during the course of the assessment; and
 - e) provide advice on the assessment findings to be reported to Ministers at the conclusion of the environmental assessment review.
- 5.2.7 The Director may add or remove agencies from the Technical Advisory Committee or Technical Working Groups.
- 5.2.8 The Director may consult government agencies which are not members of the Technical Advisory Committee or Technical Working Groups with respect to specific issues relevant to their mandates.

Agency and First Nations Comments on the Project Report

- 5.2.9 The Proponent must provide copies of the Project Report (and Project Report Supplement) to government agencies and First Nations for information and consultation purposes, as directed by the Director.
- 5.2.10 The Director will invite government agencies and First Nations, either through their participation in the work of the Technical Advisory Committee or Technical Working Groups or individually, to submit written comments on the Project Report (and Project Report Supplement) within a period determined by the Director.

Public Comments on the Project Report

- 5.2.11 As per section 6 of this Order.

Proponent's Reporting of Issues and Responses to Comments

- 5.2.12 Within 15 days of the close of the formal public comment period identified in section 6.3.4 of this Order, the Proponent must provide the Director a written report on the results of all public consultation activities held and attended in support of the Project Report, noting public interest (including attendance), views, issues and concerns raised with respect to the Project.

- 5.2.13 The Proponent must respond to issues that are identified in written comments by government agencies and First Nations received during the review of the Project Report (and Project Report Supplement), and to public written comments which are received during a formal public comment period that the Director considers to be within the scope of the assessment (the “**Proponent Responses**”).
- 5.2.14 Where requested by, and within any time limits set by, the Director, the Proponent must provide specified additional information in relation to, or to supplement, the information provided to address issues identified during the course of the review.

Local Government Response Document

- 5.2.15 In addition to the opportunity to participate in the technical review of the Project Report as per section 6.3 of this Order, Regional and Local Government agencies identified in section 5.2.2 of this Order will be invited to prepare and submit to the Director official written responses to the Project (the “**Local Government Response Documents**”) upon consideration of information on the Project including, but not limited to:
- a) the Project Report;
 - b) Project Report review comments identified in section 5.2.11 of this Order;
 - c) the Proponent Responses identified in section 5.2.13 of this Order; and
 - d) the Report on Public Views and Interests identified in section 6.3.9 of this Order.
- 5.2.16 Local Government Response Documents are to be submitted within a period determined by the Director.
- 5.2.17 Upon receiving Local Government Response Documents, the Director will determine whether further responses to specific technical issues are required from the Proponent in order to prepare the Assessment Report identified in section 5.3.1 of this Order.
- 5.2.18 As required by the Director, the Proponent must respond to issues identified in Local Government Response Documents.
- 5.2.19 Local Government Response Documents, and associated Proponent responses, will be incorporated into the Assessment Report identified in section 5.3.1 of this Order to be provided to the Minister of Sustainable Resource Management, the Minister of Water, Land and Air Protection, and the responsible minister (the “Ministers”) for their consideration in making a decision on the Application.

5.3. Preparing the Assessment Report

- 5.3.1 Pursuant to section 17(1) of the Act, the Director will prepare a report on the assessment of the Project (the “Assessment Report”) for submission to the Ministers for their consideration in making a decision on the Application under section 17(3) of the Act.
- 5.3.2 For the purposes of completing the Assessment Report, the Director will consult, as necessary, with the Technical Advisory Committee, the Proponent, and others as determined by the Director.

5.4. Referral to Ministers

- 5.4.1 Pursuant to the Transition Order #02-09, the Director will refer the Application, the Assessment Report, and any recommendations (including reasons) to the Ministers for a decision within the time period identified in section 5.1.6 of this Order. Pursuant to section 17(3)(c) of the Act, the Ministers must, within the time

limit provided in section 4 of the *Prescribed Time Limits Regulation* (B.C. Reg. 372/2002):

- a) issue an environmental assessment certificate to the proponent, and attach any conditions to the certificate that the Ministers consider necessary;
- b) refuse to issue the certificate to the proponent; or
- c) order that further assessment be carried out, in accordance with the scope, procedures and methods specified by the Ministers.

6. CONSULTATION

6.1. Access to Information

- 6.1.1 The Director will make available to the public, through the Project Information Centre (available online through www.eao.gov.bc.ca), records generated for the purposes of the assessment in accordance with section 6 of the *Public Consultation Policy Regulation* (B.C. Reg. 373/02) and this Order.
- 6.1.2 The Director will also make available, through the Project Information Centre (available online through www.eao.gov.bc.ca), the following records:
 - a) Technical Advisory Committee and Technical Working Group meeting minutes;
 - b) EAO newsletters and other communication materials; and
 - c) The Report on Public Views and Interests referred to in section 6.3.9 of this Order.
- 6.1.3 The Director will make available to the public, through local information locations at the Invermere Public Library, the Cranbrook Public Library, the Nelson Public Library, the Argenta Community Library, the Silvertown Municipal Office and the Kaslo Government Agent's Office, the Project Report (and Project Report Supplement) and other project information as determined by the Director.

6.2. Notification

- 6.2.1 The Proponent must:
 - a) prior to the open houses identified in section 6.3.7 of this Order, provide a summary of the Project Report as inserts in the publications identified in section 6.2.1 c) of this Order;
 - b) advertise the date, time and location of any open houses whether organized by the EAO or the Proponent;
 - c) provide public notice of the availability of the Project Report for public review and comment, along with the time limits for the formal public comment period, in the Cranbrook Daily Townsman, the East Kootenay Weekly, the Kootenay Advertiser, the Invermere Valley Echo, the Nelson Daily News, the Express (Nelson), the Golden Star, and the Kaslo Pennywise. The content, format, and publication schedule must be approved by the Director.
- 6.2.2 First notices for public comment periods and open houses must appear:
 - a) in the case of a formal public comment period, at least 7 days prior to the date on which the formal public comment period commences, and
 - b) in the case of an open house, at least 7 days prior to the date on which an open house is scheduled.

6.3. Consultation Activities

Public Consultation Assessment

- 6.3.1 The Director will assess the adequacy of the Proponent's past and proposed public consultation activities and provide the results of that assessment (the

- “Public Consultation Assessment”) to the Proponent in writing within 30 days of receiving the Project Report for screening.
- 6.3.2 The Public Consultation Assessment may:
- a) specify further consultation activities that the Director considers necessary to ensure adequate public consultation,
 - b) set time limits for carrying out specific consultation activities, and
 - c) allocate responsibilities for carrying out specific consultation activities to the Proponent, the Environmental Assessment Office, or both.
- 6.3.3 The Proponent will be required to complete the public consultation program, including any additional measures for consultation specified by the Director, by the dates stipulated or agreed upon.

Public Comment Period

- 6.3.4 The Director will hold a formal public comment period of 60 days, commencing 7 days after notice of the comment period is posted on the Project Information Centre, inviting the public to submit written comments on the Project Report.
- 6.3.5 Upon acceptance of a Project Report Supplement referred to in section 5.1.3 of this Order, the Director may establish any public consultation process determined necessary to solicit public review comments.

Public Involvement

- 6.3.6 The Proponent must conduct the public consultation program proposed in its Project Report, subject to any modification of that program ordered by the Director under this Order.
- 6.3.7 The EAO will organize open houses in Invermere, B.C. and Nelson, B.C., respectively, during the formal public comment period identified in section 6.3.4 of this Order.
- 6.3.8 The open houses identified in section 6.3.7 of this Order will be designed to provide a forum for effective two-way communication that is interactive and leads to a mutual understanding of interests (*i.e.*, use of work stations to present information contained in the Project Report; consultants available to discuss and respond to specific issues within the scope of the assessment; government agencies available to provide any necessary government policy context).

Report on Public Views and Interests

- 6.3.9 The Director will, following the formal public comment period identified in section 6.3.4 of this Order, prepare a report summarizing all public submissions received by the EAO during the review of the Application (the “**Report on Public Views and Interests**”), including:
- a) a summary of public submissions received during the formal public comment period identified in section 6.3.4 of this Order, including associated proponent responses; and
 - b) a summary of public submissions received during the comment period on the Application (July 15, 1995 to September 28, 1995)¹ and the Draft Project Report Specifications (December 18, 1996 to February 20, 1997)².

¹ Jumbo Glacier Project Committee Responses to Views Expressed and Issues Identified by the Public with Respect to the Proposed Jumbo Glacier Alpine Resort Project.

² Project Committee/Review Agency Responses to Public comments on the Draft Specifications, as flagged in July 4, 1997 Chart: Tabulation of Public Comments received during Public Comment Period on Draft Project Report Specifications – December 18, 1996 to February 20, 1997.

- 6.3.10 The Report on Public Views and Interests will be incorporated into the Assessment Report to be provided to the Ministers as a complete and accurate reporting of public issues and concerns for their consideration in making a decision on the Application.

6.4. First Nations Consultation

- 6.4.1 The Proponent must make reasonable efforts to consult with First Nations listed in section 5.2.2 of this Order in accordance with the consultation program proposed in the Project Report, including discussions of:
- a) any aboriginal interests which may be potentially affected by the Project; and
 - b) measures to avoid, mitigate or, where appropriate, otherwise accommodate for those interests.
- 6.4.2 The Proponent must report to the Director the results of any consultations conducted with First Nations.
- 6.4.3 Based on the Proponent's reports referred to in section 6.4.2 of this Order, the Director may require the Proponent or the EAO to implement additional measures for First Nations consultation.
- 6.4.4 The Proponent, or the First Nations, may request the assistance of the EAO in these consultations.
- 6.4.5 The Proponent must advise the Director as early as practicable if circumstances arise which, in the Proponent's view, prevent the Proponent from completing First Nations consultation measures, in which case, the Director may require the Proponent to undertake alternative measures.
- 6.4.6 As required and by mutual agreement, the Director will arrange consultation meetings with the First Nations to discuss:
- c) any aboriginal interests which may be potentially affected by the Project; and
 - d) measures to avoid, mitigate or, where appropriate, otherwise accommodate for those interests.

Technical Advisory Committee

The following agencies, local governments and First Nations were invited to participate on the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) established to advise the EAO on the EA review:

Province of British Columbia

- a) Ministry of Sustainable Resource Management (SRM)
- b) Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (WLAP)
- c) Ministry of Small Business and Economic Development (SBED)
- d) Interior Health Authority (IHA)
- e) Land and Water British Columbia Inc. (LWBC)
- f) Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (MAFF)
- g) Ministry of Attorney General – Treaty Negotiations Office (MAG-TNO)
- h) Ministry of Community, Aboriginal and Women’s Services (CAWS)
- i) Ministry of Energy and Mines (MEM)
- j) Ministry of Forests (MOF)
- k) Ministry of Transportation (MOT)

Regional and Local Government

- l) Regional District of East Kootenay (RDEK)
- m) Regional District of Central Kootenay (RDCK)
- n) District of Invermere (DOI)
- o) Village of Radium Hot Springs (VOR)

First Nations

- p) Ktunaxa/Kinbasket Tribal Council (KKTC)
- q) Columbia Lake Indian Band (CLIB)
- r) Shuswap Indian Band (SIB)

The following representatives participated in one or more TAC meetings:

Martyn Glassman
Project Assessment Director
Environmental Assessment Office

Alan Calder
Project Assessment Manager
Environmental Assessment Office

Roger Tailleir
Project Assessment Officer
Environmental Assessment Office

Bill Irwin
Director, All Seasons Resorts
Land and Water BC Inc.

Psyche Brown
Alpine Ski Manager,
Land and Water Management Division
Land and Water BC Inc.

Dannie Carsen
Economist, Community Transition
Ministry of Community, Aboriginal and Women’s Services

Kevin Weaver
Regional Manager,
Economic Development Division
Ministry of Small Business and Economic Development

Greg Anderson
RSM-Revitalization
Ministry of Forests

Steve Flett
District Planner
**Ministry of Sustainable Resource
Management**

Ken Gorsline
Regional Planning Manager
**Ministry of Sustainable Resource
Management**

Rodger Stewart
Manager, Environmental Assessment and
Major Projects
Environmental Stewardship Division
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

Kathy Eichenberger
Regional Manager, Nelson
Environmental Protection Division
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

Gary Lawrence
Environmental Protection Officer
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

Ed Shaw
Floodplain Technician
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

Doug Martin
Senior Ecosystem Specialist
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

Matt Austin
Species Specialist
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

Jolene Raggett
Environmental Impact Assessment Biologist
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection

Jack Bennetto
District Manager, Transportation
Ministry of Transportation

Carl Withler
Resource Stewardship Agrologist
Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries

Ron Popoff
Senior Public Health Officer
Interior Health

Dave Brooks
Superintendent
BC Ambulance Services

Dave Grieve
Regional Geologist,
Mining and Minerals Division
Ministry of Energy and Mines

Brian McLaughlin
Councillor
District of Invermere

Larry Greenlaw
Director
Regional District of Central Kootenay

Bob Whetham
Manager, Planning & Development Services
Regional District of East Kootenay

Greg Deck
Mayor
Village of Radium Hot Springs

Mathew Nye
Chief Financial Officer,
Kinbasket Development Corporation
Shuswap Indian Band

All members were not involved in every meeting. Proposed agendas were distributed in advance of the meetings to enable members to decide which meetings warranted their participation.