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1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The present report outlines the results of a four week long consultation process and cultural resources overview study carried out within the context of the Saanich Inlet Study on southern Vancouver Island. The Saanich Inlet Study has been initiated by the Government of British Columbia to produce baseline information on the assimilative capacity of Saanich Inlet. Although this study arose largely in response to the proposed Bamberton Development Project, the various study components include an examination of natural and cultural elements within all of Saanich Inlet - as contained in the area south of Hatch Point on the west side of the inlet, and Moses Point on the east side. Among the six "key elements" of the overall study, two are of particular significance to the present report. These are:

- seeking First Nations and public involvement to provide input on uses, values and expectations for the inlet environment; and
- documenting historical, existing and future uses of Saanich Inlet, including fisheries, recreation, aesthetic and cultural elements, with qualification of these uses, and forecasts of future expectations (from Saanich Inlet Study Terms of Reference).

In order to address the first of these elements, the B.C. Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks have set up a Saanich Inlet Advisory Committee which includes several First Nations members including: Chief Cyril Livingstone, Chief Elmer Henry, Chief Vern Jacks and Chief Rod Modeste. It was recognized that more in-depth consultations with First Nations individuals and groups was needed to document more fully Aboriginal uses of the inlet, and to include an overview study of existing archaeological and ethnographic information relating to the inlet. This led to the inclusion of the present study within the following terms of reference and scope:

"to interview all First Nations with an interest in Saanich Inlet; to document subsistence uses as well as cultural uses and values. Information to be documented should be based on both the testimony of band members and the archaeological record..."

Although the emphasis of the study was to be on information regarding "...marine/shoreline species, sites and related activities," the First Nations consultations and land-use component of the study was expanded to include information about cultural values and traditional-use areas beyond shoreline and riparian habitats since most future land development scenarios (i.e. Bamberton Project etc.) will have a potential for impacting land-based cultural resources
and values.

The First Nations component of the Saanich Inlet Study involved a full-time researcher (Alison Davis) and a First Nations facilitator (Tom Sampson) to assist with meeting coordination and consultations. The archaeological data analysis and synthesis was carried out by Jim Haggarty of Shoreline Archaeological Consultants Ltd., of Victoria, while overall project coordination and direction was the responsibility of Bjorn Simonsen, Principal of the Bastion Group.

2.0 FIRST NATIONS CULTURE HISTORY AND SOURCES OF DATA

2.1 Saanich Inlet Culture History

The six First Nations communities consulted during this study were as follows: Tsawout Village at Saanichton Bay, located on the east side of Saanich Peninsula; Tseycum Village at Patricia Bay, located at the north east side of the Saanich Inlet; Pauquachin Village at Cole Bay, located at the mid-east side of Saanich Inlet; Tsartlip Village, located at the south east side of Saanich Inlet; Malahat Village, located on the mid-west side of Saanich Inlet; and Cowichan near Duncan (see Map 1).

The Saanich are included in the Northern Straits Salish language family and speak SENCOTEN, a Northern Straits dialect (Suttles 1990; Rozen 1985). Members of Malahat and Cowichan speak the Island Halkomelem dialect from the Island Halkomelem language family (Suttles 1990). General territorial boundaries are as follows: Saanich territory includes the Saanich Peninsula south to Mount Douglas and Mount Finlayson, and also including Mayne and Salt Spring Islands (Suttles 1974; Elliot 1990; Duff 1969); Malahat territorial lines generally fall between Shawnigan Lake/Mill Bay to the north bordering with the Cowichan, and Goldstream to the south bordering with the Saanich; Cowichan territory extends south from the Shawnigan Lake/Mill Bay area, north to a point just past Maple Bay. This boundary division is somewhat arbitrary, based more on geographic considerations that on differences in culture (Barnett 1935; Jenness 1938: 1). We also note that there was considerable overlap and shared territory within the study area. Saanich Inlet was one such area.

Regarding historical subsistence pursuits, Suttles (1960; 1968) characterizes the Central Coast Salish - which comprises both Straits Salish and neighbouring Halkomelem - by four main features: a great variety of natural food resources; variation in location of these food resources; seasonal resource variation; and long-term fluctuation in resource availability. The above resource conditions caused an annual round of seasonal movements (Jenness 1938). Saanich Peninsula and Inlet served as a winter home-base for the Saanich and Malahat. During the winter, dried salmon served as the main food staple supplemented with dried berries, some fresh fish, waterfowl, intertidal shellfish and seaweed (Jenness 1938; Elliot in Poth 1990). Game, such as deer, was also major food resource (see Appendix 3 for subsistence resources). This was also a time when ceremonial activity (such as dancing and initiation rites) took place.

With spring's onset, various other marine resources were exploited such as seals, spring salmon, rock cod, grilse, halibut and herring. Land mammals, such as deer and elk, were more frequently exploited with the better weather and plant harvesting for such species as camas, would occur in May in dry, rocky shore locations (Jenness 1938; Turner and Bell 1971; Elliot in Poth 1990). A late spring movement of families out of the sheltered waters of Saanich Inlet progressed through the Gulf Islands, where fishing would occur and to Point Roberts, where the Saanich and Malahat would net sockeye and humpback salmon (Jenness 1938). Returning through the San Juan and Gulf Islands throughout August and September, the cycle ended with chum salmon as the last major resource harvested in the Goldstream area from October onward (Elliot in Poth 1990).

In 1846, the Treaty of Washington established a United States - British border, which caused an arbitrary division amongst the Central Coast Salish (Suttles 1990). The border line made it illegal for the Saanich and Malahat to venture to their traditional fishing grounds in the San Juan Islands and Point Roberts. The cultural pattern of resource exploitation was further altered with the onset of European settlement on southern Vancouver Island. A Hudson Bay Company trading post was erected in Victoria in 1843 and the company was offered the lands of Vancouver Island by the British Crown, on condition that it be opened for settlement (Duff 1969). Following the normal protocol for Crown colonies to extinguish First Nations' rights of propriety prior to settlement (Duff 1969), Sir James Douglas concluded an agreement with the Saanich on February 11, 1852, which entailed the purchase of:

"The whole of the lands situate and lying as follows, viz.: -commencing at Cowitchan Head and following the course of the Canal de Arro (Harro Strait) north-west nearly to Sanitch Point or Qua-na-sung; from thence following the course of the Sanitch Arm to the point where it terminates, and from thence by a line across country to said Cowitchan Head, the point of commencement, so as to include all the country and lands within those boundaries."
Prior to 1850, the Saanich occupied three main villages on the Saanich Peninsula as well as other settlements in the Gulf Islands and after this date, the latter groups moved to Saanichton Bay (Suttles 1951). The village at Cole Bay was originally a Mala hat settlement, but the Malahat later moved to the other side of Saanich Inlet (Duff 1969). Also, there was formerly an old village just south of Tsartlip which, around 1850, consisted of three long shed-roofed dwellings and a number of smaller ones. However, it was burnt down by northern Indians while its inhabitants were fishing near Malahat (Keddie 1991). This village was rebuilt and incorporated into the current Tsartlip Reserve.

Drastic population declines caused by such factors as smallpox epidemics, measles, tuberculosis, sexually transmitted diseases, and armed conflict, occurred throughout the late 1700's to the mid 1800's (Keddie 1991). Devastating population declines resulted in major change amongst the inlet people. The incorporation of Indian Reserves took "What was left of 'Indian land'... transferred [it] to the federal government and called [them] Reserves" (Elliot in Poth 1990). The incorporation of Indian Reserves also affected drastic changes in the cultural patterns of the inlet's First Nations. Jenness (1938: 1) observed that:

"At one time or another practically every sheltered bay and nook along the southeast coast of Vancouver Island, and on the small islands adjacent to it, carried a settlement of greater or less size; but at the coming of Europeans late in the 18th century the Salish inhabitants of this area appear to have been divided ... ."

Although it is stipulated within the Douglas treaties that the Saanich not be withheld from their subsistence pursuits, the creation of Indian Reserves facilitated change due to the encroachment of non-Natives within traditional resource harvesting locations. The present villages of the Saanich, Malahat and Cowichan people are in the same locations today as they were at the establishment of Reserves by the McKenna-McBride Commission in 1913.

### 2.2 The Archaeological Record

#### 2.2.1 Introduction

The Saanich Inlet study area contains eighty-one archaeological sites that have been formally recorded in the study area and entered in the British Columbia Archaeological Site Inventory. Cursory information on another 15 unrecorded archaeological sites has been provided by Mr. Jim Gilbert for the purposes of this study. All 96 known sites occur either directly on the Saanich Inlet shoreline or in the near upland zone adjacent to Saanich Inlet.

During the past 20 years, Saanich Inlet shorelines and adjacent near upland zones have been surveyed at a reconnaissance level by Acheson, et al (1975) and Powell (1978 and 1979). Test excavations have been conducted at several sites in the study area by Oliver (1974) and as a part of recent impact assessment studies conducted by Wilson (1987, 1988, and 1989), Eldridge (1989), and Mason and Ham (1994). Wilson (1991) also prepared a brief heritage resource overview for the Bamberton area. Except for small geographical locales associated with recent impact assessments, no intensive level archaeological surveys have been conducted in the study area. Site-specific archaeological data available for the area are highly variable due to the site recording standards employed two decades ago when most of the known sites for the area were recorded. Site survey techniques and recording standards have evolved considerably during the intervening period.

#### 2.2.2 Types of Archaeological Resources

Site-specific archaeological data for the study area is summarized in Table 1. The types of archaeological sites present can be summarized only at a general level due to the variable nature of the information recorded on B. C. Archaeological Site Inventory forms. In summary, 79 (97.5%) of the 81 recorded sites in the study area are shell midden sites. Eight of the 79 shell midden sites are known to contain human remains. Of the two remaining sites, one is a human burial site with associated trade goods while the second site is recorded simply as a site with a depression and surface lithics present.

**Table 1. Summary of Archeological Sites in the Saanich Inlet Study Area**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Map</th>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>L (m)</th>
<th>W (m)</th>
<th>A (m²)</th>
<th>D (m)</th>
<th>E (m)</th>
<th>Dist</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DcRv-44</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/5</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>SOME EROSION; ALONG CREEK,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**

1) 
2) 
3) 

**MATERIAL;** 
**SUBSURFACE;** 
**HUMAN SHELL ACTIVITY.**
MIDDEN;

HUMAN

REMAINS;

BURIAL.

2    DcRv-77  3441   92B/5  CULTURAL    160  40    6,400   UN   5     U/
I    UN  EXTENSIVE

MATERIAL;  DAMAGE, BUT

SUBSURFACE;

MAJOR

PORTIONS OF

SHELL

MIDDEN;

THE SITE

HUMAN

APPEAR INTACT.

REMAINS;

BURIAL.

3    DcRv-78  3441   92B/5  CULTURAL    80  10    800    UN   2     U/
I    UN  DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

BY ROAD,
HUMAN SHELL ACTIVITY AND WAVE ACTION.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>CPL Code</th>
<th>Site No</th>
<th>Site Designation</th>
<th>Layer Type</th>
<th>Layer Code</th>
<th>Layer No</th>
<th>Site Components</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DdRu-1</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/11</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20,72</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>560 Site portions of SUBSURFACE;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DdRu-2</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/11</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>130 Site DISTURBANCE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By

LANDSCAPING.

SHELL

MIDDEN;

HUMAN

REMAINS;

BURIAL.

SUBSURFACE;

6 DdRu-4 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 1,200 10 12,00 UN 3
U UN DISTURBED BY

MATERIAL;

0 HOUSE

DEVELOPMENT.

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN;

HUMAN

REMAINS;

BURIAL.
MATERIAL;

CONTINUOUS.

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN;

HUMAN

REMAINS;

BURIAL.

MATERIAL;

0

DETERIORATION

SUBSURFACE;

BY HUMAN

SHELL

ACTIVITY.

MIDDEN.
U UN DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

BY HOUSE

SUBSURFACE;

DEVELOPMENT

SHELL

AND

MIDDEN;

LANDSCAPING.

HUMAN

REMAINS;

BURIAL.

10 DdRu-17 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL UN UN UN UN 0

U UN TOTALLY

DESTROYED.

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDLEN;

CULTURAL
MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

ISOLATED;

LITHICS;

HUMAN REMAINS;

BURIAL.

11 DdRu-18 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 275 18 4,950 UN 2
U UN TOTALLY

DESTROYED BY

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

HOUSE SHELL CONSTRUCTION

MIDDEN;

LANDSCAPING.

CULTURAL MATERIAL;
SURFACE;

LITHICS.

12    DdRu-23  3441   92B/11  HUMAN         UN     UN     UN     UN   3
     UN

REMAINS;

BURIAL;

HISTORIC;

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

TRADE

GOODS.

13    DdRu-25  3441   92B/11  CULTURAL     180    20     3,600        2     U/
     I   UN

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN;
CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

ISOLATED;

LITHICS.

14 DdRu-26 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 25 10 250 0
U UN

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

15 DdRu-47 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 45 20 900 UN 2 U/
I UN SOME

DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

BY HUMAN

SHELL

MIDDEN ACTIVITY AND
WAVE ACTION.

16  DdRu-48  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL     110  12  1,320 UN   0   U/
I    UN    DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;
BY HUMAN

SUBSURFACE;
ACTIVITY AND

SHELL
WAVE ACTION.

MIDDEN.

17  DdRu-49  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL     11   3   33    UN   1   U/
I    UN    DAMAGE BY

MATERIAL;
WAVE ACTION

SUBSURFACE;
AND POSSIBLY

SHELL
HOUSE

MIDDEN.

CONSTRUCTION.

18  DdRu-50  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL     45   7   315 UN   3
U    UN    POSSIBLE
DISTURBANCE

SUBSURFACE;

BY RUNOFF.

SHELL

MIDDEN.

19 DdRu-51 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL UN UN UN UN 1
U UN DISTURBANCE

BY HOUSE

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN;

HUMAN

REMAINS;

BURIAL;

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;
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SURFACE;

LITHICS.

20  DdRu-52  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL  60  10  600  UN  2  U/
I  UN  DISTURBANCE

SURFACE;

MATERIAL;

DUE TO ROADS,

SUBSURFACE;

CONSTRUCTION,

SHELL

LANDSCAPING,

MIDDEN;

WAVE ACTION,

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE.

21  DdRu-53  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL  80  10  800  .45  10
U  820  SOME

MATERIAL;

+/-  DISTURBANCE

SUBSURFACE;

COMPONENT 1

-  50  BY TRAIL AND
SHELL
0.25 m;
RUNOFF.

MIDDEN. COMPONENT 2 -

0.25 to 0.45 m).

22 DdRu-54 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 200 2 400 UN 4
U UN ONLY 1 SMALL MATERIAL;
PORTION

SUBSURFACE;
INTACT, SOME SHELL
DISTURBANCE
MIDDEN.
FROM HUMAN

ACTIVITY.

23 DdRu-55 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 33 15 495 UN 2 U/
I UN DISTURBANCE MATERIAL;

BY HOUSE
SUBSURFACE; CONSTRUCTION 

AND WAVE 

SHELL 

MIDDEN. 

ACTION. 

24 DdRu-56 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 260 30 7,800 2 
U UN DISTURBANCE 

MATERIAL; 

BY 

SUBSURFACE; LANDSCAPING, 

SHELL 

BOAT DOCK, 

MIDDEN. 

EROSION, 

HUMANS, 

SLUMPAGE. 

25 DdRu-57 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 65 8 520 UN 3 
U UN DISTURBANCE 

MATERIAL; 

BY HOUSE 

SUBSURFACE; 

CONSTRUCTION,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>% Intact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>DdRu-58</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/11</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>UN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>DdRu-59</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/11</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>DdRu-60</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td></td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HUMAN MIDDEN.

SLUMPAGE.

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

10% INTACT.
MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

29 DdRu-61 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 50 30 1,500 1 2

U 2580 SOME

+/- DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

90 BY

SHELL

2370 CONSTRUCTION

+/- ACTIVITY.

90

1,540

+/-

90
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>DdRu-62</th>
<th>3 441</th>
<th>92B/11</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>93</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>930</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DISTURBANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MATERIAL;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM HOUSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SUBSURFACE;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SHELL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIDDEN.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>DdRu-63</th>
<th>3 441</th>
<th>92B/11</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>1,100</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DISTURBANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MATERIAL;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SUBSURFACE;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SHELL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIDDEN.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>DdRu-64</th>
<th>3 441</th>
<th>92B/11</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>990</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>U/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VERY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISTURBED BY SUBSURFACE;

HOUSE SHELL

CONSTRUCTION MIDDEN.

AND EROSION.

33 DdRu-65 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 48 17 816 UN 0
U UN DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

FROM HUMAN SUBSURFACE;

ACTIVITY.

SHELL

MIDDEN.

34 DdRu-66 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 60 7 420 UN 3
U UN SLUMPAGE

MATERIAL;

LIKELY.

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL
MIDDEN.

35  DdRu-68  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL      160  12   1,920  UN   0
U    UN  ALMOST

MATERIAL;

COMPLETELY

DESTROYED BY

SUBSURFACE;

HOUSING AND

SHELL

LANDSCAPING.

36  DdRu-69  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL      92   21   1,932  UN   0
U    UN  DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

BY HOUSE

SUBSURFACE;

AND

SHELL

LANDSCAPING.

37  DdRu-70  3441  92B/11  CULTURAL      76  14   1,064  UN   2
U    UN  DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;
BY HOUSE

CONSTRUCTION

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

AND

MIDDEN.

LANDSCAPING.

38 DdRu-71 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 62 8 496 UN 2
U UN BADLY

MATERIAL;

DISTURBED BY

HOUSE

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

CONSTRUCTION

MIDDEN.

AND SLUMPAGE;

MINIMAL

DEPOSIT.

39 DdRu-72 3441 92B/11 CULTURAL 130 14 1,820 UN 1
U UN DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

BY
CONSTRUCTION

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

AND

MIDDEN.

LANDSCAPING.

MATERIAL;

CONSTRUCTION

SUBSURFACE;

AND

SHELL

LANDSCAPING

MIDDEN;

AND WAVE

CULTURAL ACTION.

MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

LITHICS.

40  DdRv-1  3441  92B/12  CULTURAL  160  40  6,400  UN  5  U/
I  UN  DISTURBED BY

41  DdRv-2  3441  92B/12  CULTURAL  70  15  1,050  UN  2  U/
I  UN  SLOW EROSION
AND SLUMPAGE.

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN;

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

LITHICS.

DISTURBANCE

SUBSURFACE;

BY HUMAN

SHELL

ACTIVITY AND

MIDDEN;

WAVE ACTION.

CULTURAL
MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

ISOLATED;

LITHICS.

DISTURBANCE

BY HUMAN

SHELL

ACTIVITY AND

MIDDEN;

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

LITHICS;

FIRE
ROCK.

MATERIAL;

DEVELOPMENT.

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN;

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

ISOLATED;

HARPOON.

MATERIAL;

DISTURBANCE

BY WAVE

SUBSURFACE;
ACTION.

SHELL

MIDDEN;

CULTURAL MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

LITHICS.

46 DdRv-7 92B/12 CULTURAL UN UN UN UN 91

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

47 DdRv-9 3441 92B/12 CULTURAL 60 40 2,400 UN 2

MATERIAL;

BY HOUSE

SUBSURFACE;

CONSTRUCTION,
LANDSCAPING.

MIDDEN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DdRv</th>
<th>3441</th>
<th>92B/12</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>1,050</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>U/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>MINOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISTURBANCE

BY WAVE

SHELL ACTION.

MIDDEN.

| DdRv  | 3441 | 92B/12 | CULTURAL | 45 | 20 | 900   | UN | 3   |
|-------|------|--------|-----------|----|----|-------|----|-----|---|
| U     | UN   |        |           |    |    |       |    |     |   |

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

| DdRv  | 3441 | 92B/12 | CULTURAL | 30 | 15 | 450   | UN | 3   |
|-------|------|--------|-----------|----|----|-------|----|-----|---|
| U     | UN   | HEAVY  |           |    |    |       |    |     |   |
DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

BY HOUSING

SHELL

AND

MIDDEN;

LANDSCAPING.

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE;

LITHICS.

51 DdRv-13 3441 92B/12 CULTURAL 30 10 300 UN 3

U UN HEAVILY

DISTURBED BY

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

HOUSING

SHELL

DEVELOPMENT.

MIDDEN.
DEPOSIT IS

MATERIAL;

SHALLOW AND

SUBSURFACE;

DISTURBED.

SHELL

MIDDEN.

DISTURBED BY

MATERIAL;

ROAD

SUBSURFACE;

CONSTRUCTION.

SHELL

MIDDEN.

DISTURBANCE

MATERIAL;

BY HOUSE

SUBSURFACE;

CONSTRUCTION
AND

LANDSCAPING.

55 DdRv-17 3441 92B/12 CULTURAL 50 10 500 UN 3

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

56 DdRv-18 3441 92B/12 CULTURAL 60 20 1,200 UN 2

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

57 DdRv-19 3441 92B/12 CULTURAL 16 7 112 UN 2

MATERIAL;
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>58</th>
<th>DdRv-20</th>
<th>3441</th>
<th>92B/12</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>DISTURBANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

SUBSURFACE; 

SHELL 

MIDDEN. 

| 59 | DdRv-21 | 3441 | 92B/12 | CULTURAL | 100 | 10 | 1,000 | UN | 2 | U | UN |
|----|---------|------|--------|----------|-----|----|--------|----|---|---|----|--------------|

MATERIAL; 

SUBSURFACE; 

SHELL 

MIDDEN. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>60</th>
<th>DdRv-22</th>
<th>3441</th>
<th>92B/12</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>SHALLOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>DdRv-23</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/12</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2,310</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>DdRv-24</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/12</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>DdRv-25</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>92B/12</td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIAL;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBSURFACE;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDEN.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>64</th>
<th>DdRv-26</th>
<th>3441</th>
<th>92B/12</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>UN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATERIAL;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBSURFACE;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDEN.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>65</th>
<th>DdRv-27</th>
<th>3441</th>
<th>92B/12</th>
<th>CULTURAL</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>170</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>UN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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66  DdRv-28  3441   92B/12  CULTURAL  90  5  450  UN  0
U  UN  NORTH END OF

MATERIAL;

SITE

DISTURBED BY

SUBSURFACE;

HOUSE

MIDDEN.

CONSTRUCTION

AND

LANDSCAPING.

67  DdRv-29  3441   92B/12  CULTURAL  200  20  4,000  UN  2
U  UN  EXTENSIVE

MATERIAL;

DAMAGE BY

SUBSURFACE;

CONSTRUCTION

SHELL

MILL BAY RD.

AND HOUSING.

68  DdRv-30  3441   92B/12  CULTURAL  14  10  140  UN  0
U  UN
MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

69    DdRv-31  3441   92B/12  CULTURAL      20     10     200    UN   2

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

70    DdRv-32  3441   92B/12  CULTURAL      15     2      30     UN   0     U/

I UN DISTURBANCE

BY WAVE

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.
MATERIAL; BY WAVE

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

MATERIAL; BY HOUSE

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL AND CREEK;

MIDDEN;

EXTENSIVE LAND FILL.

CULTURAL MATERIAL;

SURFACE.
MATERIAL;

DISTURBED BY

PRESIDENT

CULTURAL

HOUSING

CULTURAL

ANTLER;

SHELL.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DeRu-23</th>
<th>3441</th>
<th>92B/11</th>
<th>MATERIAL;</th>
<th>COVERED SITE</th>
<th>SURFACE;</th>
<th>LITHICS.</th>
<th></th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COVERED SITE</td>
<td>SURFACE;</td>
<td>LITHICS.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SUBSURFACE;</td>
<td>SHELL</td>
<td>MIDDEN;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CULTURAL</td>
<td>MATERIAL;</td>
<td>SURFACE;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HOUSING, BOAT</td>
<td>MATERIAL;</td>
<td>LITHICS.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSURFACE;

RAMP AND

SHELL

LANDSCAPING.

MIDDEN;

CULTURAL

MATERIAL;

SURFACE.

77     DeRu-36  3441   92B/11  CULTURAL      UN     UN     UN     UN   1

U     UN

MATERIAL;

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

78     DeRu-153 3441   92B/11  CULTURAL      220    15     3,300  UN   0

U     UN    PARTLY

MATERIAL;

DISTURBED.

SUBSURFACE;
SHELL

MIDDEN.

79    DeRu-157 3441   92B/11  CULTURAL      105    12     1,260  UN   2     U/
I    UN    DISTURBED BY

MATERIAL;

WAVE ACTION.

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

80    DeRv-100 3441   92B/12  CULTURAL      230    20     4,600  UN   0     U/
I    UN    DETERIORATION

MATERIAL;

FROM WAVE

SUBSURFACE;

SHELL

MIDDEN.

81    DeRv-113 3441   92B/12  CULTURAL      100    40     4,000  T    20    U/
I    UN    HEAVY

MATERIAL;

DISTURBANCE
NOTES:

1. Canadian Hydrographic Services Chart Series
2. National Topographical Series (1:50,000).
3. U = Uplands only, I = Intertidal only, U/I = Uplands/intertidal

L = Length
W = Width
A = Area
D = Depth
UN = Unknown
T = Thin

Shell midden sites can range in size from small shellfish processing sites to large village sites that were occupied on a year round basis. These types of sites can be assessed both on the basis of surface area or expression (length x width) as well as volume of cultural deposit present (length x width x depth). For the 79 shell midden sites recorded in the study area (Table 1), only surface area assessments can be made as depth measurements were infrequently recorded. The distribution of the 79 shell midden sites by surface area is presented in Table 2.

**Table 2. Size distribution of shell midden sites**

- **< 499 sq. m.** 25 sites
- **500 - 1,999 sq. m.** 28 sites
- **2,000 - 4,999 sq. m.** 11 sites
In terms of surface area, 53 (74%) of the 72 shell midden sites with recorded length and width dimensions have a surface area of less than 2,000 square meters. These sites are likely camp sites that were used on a seasonal basis to exploit the wide range of resources present in the study area prior to European contact. The eight sites (11%) with surface areas exceeding 5,000 square meters are likely village sites that were occupied on a year round basis by at least a portion of the resident social unit. The 11 sites (15%) in the 2,000 to 4,999 square meter category are more difficult to assign to either category without further subsurface data. It must be stressed that village/camp assignments based on surface dimensions alone is speculative. Detailed subsurface data is required before definitive determinations can be made in this regard.

The high incidence of shell midden sites within the study area is an indication of the importance of shellfish resources as a food staple for the Aboriginal people of Saanich Inlet. Such resources (including butter clams, littleneck clams, horseclams, cockles, mussels and native oysters) have been harvested for millennia on the inlet and continue to be an important source of food and economic benefit for the First Nations people.

No stone wall or weir fish traps or rock art sites (pictograph and petroglyph sites) have been recorded in the study area. Evidence of fish trap structures associated with salmon streams in the area may have been destroyed by subsequent commercial or residential activity. It is unlikely that sites of this type were not present in the area in the past.

2.2.3 Site Distribution

The geographic location of sites in the study area (Table 1 and Map 3) have been characterized according to their distribution in the upland zone, intertidal zone, or in both upland and intertidal zones. Fifty-seven (70%) of the 81 sites recorded occur in the upland zone while 24 sites (30%) occur in the upland and adjacent intertidal zones. No sites are known to occur exclusively in the intertidal zone. The determination of an intertidal location for at least a portion of the 24 sites that are recorded as having both an upland and intertidal distribution was based primarily on comments on the site form that indicated wave action was impacting the site or that artifacts were observed in the intertidal zone in front of the site. It is possible, even likely, that a number of the 57 sites currently in the upland zone category also have an intertidal distribution. At present, there are no comments on the site forms that would indicate that any of these sites are eroding into the intertidal zone.
The distribution of archaeological sites within the Saanich Inlet study area, indicates a strong marine orientation for the First Nations people who occupy this area. The recent historic pattern of seaside village and camp settlements, water-borne transportation and a heavy reliance on marine food resources, has a long tradition that stretches back at least 2500 years (see next sub-section).

2.2.4 Site Age

Two shell midden sites, both located on the eastern shoreline of Saanich Inlet, have been radio-carbon dated. A single C14 date estimate was obtained from test excavations at DdRu 53 (820 ± plus/minus; 90 BP) at the head of Brentwood Bay (Wilson 1988) and three from test excavations at DdRu 61 (2,580 ± plus/minus; 90 BP; 2,370 ± plus/minus; 90 BP; and 1,540 ± plus/minus; 90 BP), located northeast of Henderson Point (Wilson 1989). The three dates obtained from DdRu 61 are attributed by the author to the Marpole Culture Type. The earliest date (2,580 ± plus/minus; 90 BP) appears to bridge the Marpole/Locarno Beach time period while the latest date (1,540 ± plus/minus; 90 BP) appears to bridge the Marpole/Gulf of Georgia time period. The single date from DdRu 53 (820 +/- 90 BP) is from the Gulf of Georgia time period.

2.2.5 General Condition of the Sites

It is difficult to summarize the general condition of sites in the study area solely on the basis of presently recorded information. Many of the sites, particularly those located on shorelines adjacent to commercial or residential development, have been directly impacted by the activity. In some cases, entire sites have been destroyed. Comments in some site records indicate that 10 to 90% of intact deposits have been destroyed. Very few sites in the study area appear not to have sustained some degree of damage over time, either by human or natural agencies. It is urgent that all site records be updated, including a full assessment in order to determine the actual extent of physical damage sustained since initially being recorded.

2.2.6 Archaeological Sites and Place Name Data

As previously noted, it is believed that at one time or another, practically every sheltered bay and nook along the southeast coast of Vancouver Island carried a settlement of Salish inhabitants (Jenness 1938: 1). These long-term patterns of occupation precipitated a historical record of resource use. Given that First Nations are cultures based on oral history, evidence of cultural resource use within the Saanich Inlet mainly presides in SENCOTEN and Halkomelem place names. Duff (1969: 3) refers to the significance of place names in stating: "A place name is a reminder in history, indelibly stamped on the land. To work with Indian place names is to learn something about the Indian versions of what happened in history."

Over the course of this study, place names were documented both from ethnographic and ethnolinguistic sources, as well as from First Nations individuals familiar with the Saanich Inlet (refer to Appendix 2: "Place Names attributed to Cultural Resource Use Sites of the Saanich, Malahat and Cowichan Nations; and Map 2: "Map of Study Area, Showing Place Names, Resource Gathering Sites, and Related Land-Use Areas").

There appear to be two general categories of place names relating to resource usage resulting from the overview study. The first category relates to resource use through direct translations of SENCOTEN or Halkomelem place names. For example, McKenzie Bight is known as "place of deer," (Elliot in Poth 1990: 21), and "land of deer," (Olsen in Hudson 1970: 4). The second category of place names are areas within the inlet of contemporary significance to local First Nations which do not necessarily have a known Native place name. For example, although no place name was recorded for Johns Creek, this creek an important area for ritual bathing as well as trout fishing (S. Smith; J. Gilbert, personal communication).

In recording place name data over the course of the study, a few patterns appeared to emerge regarding Aboriginal land and water uses. With regard to fishing, cod (rock and ling) is harvested along deep shorelines as well off points of land such as Will is, McCurdy, Elbow and Sheppard Points. Salmon, one of the most culturally and nutritionally significant foods amongst the inlet Nations, is harvested within Goldstream (chum) and Tod Inlet estuaries (spring). Prior to the late 1800's, Saanich, Malahat and Cowichan people would travel to the mainland, where salmon was harvested from the bountiful Fraser River. However, due to year-round subsistence and commercial harvesting within the Saanich Inlet in contemporary times, pressures on resources within the inlet have mounted. Herring fish and roe were formerly gathered in the shallow waters at Brentwood, Coles, and Patricia Bays as well as McPhail Point. However, other than a herring run reported in Patricia Bay two years ago, there has not been a significant number of herring for a number of years. Finally, tributaries are noted as being important for harvesting fresh water trout, as well as for spawning salmon.
In regard to shellfish harvesting, all areas in the inlet bearing sandy, exposed shorelines, are known to be good harvesting areas for such species as clams, oysters, and mussels. Tributary mouths are also important shellfish harvesting areas (e.g. Tod, Hagan, Spectacle, and Johns Creeks). Areas where eelgrass occurs are known to be good places to harvest crabs. It should be noted, however, that many people interviewed no longer consume shellfish due to contamination by red tides, and polluted water.

"Hunting areas" refer generally to deer ranges, although some Saanich people that were consulted, as well as various sources of ethnographic literature, referred to the hunting and trapping of elk, mink, and raccoon. Areas often mentioned include the Gowlland Range, with a particular focus on McKenzie Bight and the Malahat Range.

Plant gathering areas documented, included virtually all areas around the Saanich Inlet. For an in-depth focus on cultural plant-use by the Inlet Nations, reference should be made to Turner and Bell's Ethnobotany of the Coast Salish Indians (1971). Sea weed harvesting locations documented in this study were limited to Dyer rocks, Senanus Island and Coles Bay. Turner and Bell (1971) note the following seaweeds to be of significance in this region: Ulva lactuce (sea lettuce), Porphyra sp. (red layers), possibly a Fucus ("green beach weed"), possibly Gigartina (red algae), and Nereocystis luetkeana (Mertens) Postels, and Ruprecht (common kelp). The mouth of Tod Creek was known to be a good place to collect bulrush (Typha latifolia).

With respect to religious worship within the inlet, some physical features appear to stand-out. However, the Saanich Inlet as a whole is considered by many to be a sacred place for the inlet First Nations who have a religious philosophy based upon traditional animistic beliefs. In collectively referring to the Saanich Nation, Earl Claxton Sr., and John Elliot Sr. (1994: i) state:

"...It is the belief of the Saanich tribe that we have occupied this land and have lived according to the Sacred teaching of life given to us by XALS, our Creator, since the beginning. There were no winds, animals, birds, trees, fishes or islands at that time. All of these beings were changed by XALS from Saanich people to be what they are today. ... To have connection to the land, according to ancient beliefs and teachings, is the Saanich identity."

Some religious ceremonies occurring amongst the Saanich involves ritual bathing in order to gain power and strength and for cleansing purposes. A number of small creeks flowing into Saanich Inlet have been used for such purposes. However, due to urban encroachment, which threatens privacy and has reportedly resulted in the drying-up of a few important tributaries (S. Smith; G. Bartleman; V. Jacks); and to water pollution from agricultural and industrial run-off, many sensitive areas are being destroyed. Another issue of concern is that of grave islands within Saanich Inlet. Virtually every small rocky outcrop and island within the inlet was used as a grave island either by Saanich or Malahat Nations (I. Morris; R. Modeste). Although the Northern Straits Salish are generally secretive regarding religious practices, a general consensus among those consulted was that the preservation of important ceremonial areas must be given a high priority, even if this compromises spiritual practices.

Data on the relationship between specific archaeological sites and past and present subsistence resource areas, needs to be documented in order to determine past patterns of Native settlement and subsistence usage in the study area. A key element in the process is the use of Native place name data where possible, such as from: Hudson 1970; the Saanich place name map worked on by Kevin Paul (and others previously) available in Claxton and Elliot's Reef Net Technology of the Saltwater People; Montler 1991; and Rozen 1985. A serious effort should be made to correlate Native place names with data obtained from other sources of information.

3.0 RESULTS OF CONSULTATIONS AND RESEARCH

3.1 Library and Archival Research

Due to time limitations for the completion of the present study, it was not possible to carry out an extensive search for archival and non-published sources that could aid in producing a concise picture of past Aboriginal land-use and settlement on Saanich Inlet. However, as a number of readily available published (as well as non-published) sources of information exist, which summarize much of the extant archival data, we have relied primarily on these "secondary sources" for information.

The main body of readily available ethnographic information relating to the Saanich Inlet study area stems from Barnett (1935; 1955), Jenness (1934-36; 1938), Suttles (1974; 1987; 1990), Hudson (1970), Duff (1969) and Rozen (1985). These and other resources were accessed through the Ministry of Small Business, Tourism and Culture Library, the
In the project Terms of Reference, B.C. Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks OSRIS (Oil Spill Response Information System) data base was specifically mentioned as an important reference source. This information was viewed at the Provincial Land Use Coordination Office (LUCO) and it was determined that the traditional resource use component of OSRIS was not as complete as the information already gathered for this study. However, the OSRIS information has been referenced for the archaeological component of the study.

Attempts were made to access specific and comprehensive land claims information for the study area. However, upon contacting the B.C. Treaty Commission, the Aboriginal Affairs liaison office for the Ministry of the Environment, the Saanich Native Heritage Society, and other sources, it appears that this information is currently not available.

Finally, place name information was retrieved from a number of literature and map sources including: Barnett (1938; 1955); Claxton and Elliot (1993; 1994); Elliot in Poth (1990); Jenness (1938); Hudson (1970); Rozen (1985). The other source of place name information came from First Nations consultations over the duration of the study (see Appendix 2; Map 2).

### 3.2 Nature and Scope of Direct Consultations and Interviews

Initial contact was made by letter and follow-up telephone calls to Chiefs and Band Council members at the onset of the study. Invitations were sent out to Chiefs and Councils of the Saanich First Nations to attend a meeting at Tseycum Band office, which would serve to introduce the study and the project consultants. The Malahat and Cowichan Bands were contacted separately.

On February 2nd, a meeting was held at the Tseycum Band office that included: Chief Vern Jacks of Tseycum, Chief Elmer Henry of Pauquachin, Chief Cyril Livingstone, a representative of the First Nations South Island Tribal Council, as well as village council and community members. Representatives from The Bastion Group were introduced and project protocol was discussed. Concerns about the Saanich Inlet were voiced and some of this report's recommendations were initially formulated from this meeting. It was also decided that an open house on the Saanich Inlet Study be held on one of the Saanich Reserves. A boat cruise to view various parts of the Inlet and obtain data about resource use locations was also planned. A meeting with Council members from Tsawout Reserve, also took place towards the end of the study.

The Saanich Native Heritage Society (SNHS) was also contacted and has been very helpful in supplying resources. Since the SNHS represents all four Saanich communities acting as a repository for cultural data, we are proposing that original information gathered in the course of this research project should be deposited there, with duplicate copies distributed to individual Band offices.

The Malahat Band was contacted early in the study and a brief meeting occurred with a Band Councilor (Robert Harry) mid-way through the study. An additional meeting occurred with Malahat Chief Rod MODESTE and Robert Harry, where concerns were discussed regarding the future of Saanich Inlet, with a strong focus on the proposed Bamberton development. The Cowichan Band was contacted and a meeting took place with two Council representatives (Wes MODESTE and Eamon GAUNT). However, no further consultations have occurred.

An Open House event occurred on February 15 at the recreation hall at Pauquachin Reserve and was attended by Alan Calder and Jason Austin of the Water Quality Branch, Bjorn Simonsen and Alison Davis of the Bastion Group, and part-time by Fraser Smith, Simon Smith, and Tom Sampson of Tsartlip and Chief Vern Jacks of Tseycum. Given the short notice, a good turn-out occurred and several requests were made to have additional open house events at all First Nations communities concerned with the Saanich Inlet. Interest was also expressed in having information available and disseminated to the LAU, WELNEW Tribal school at Tsartlip and to other interest groups.

A boat cruise on Saanich Inlet took place on February 22, 1995 aboard the "Sea Jac", a 54' charter vessel. The cruise took place for two reasons: first, to learn about site-specific resource locations within the inlet; second, to view first-hand the...
extent and scope of development around the inlet. A total of eight Saanich First Nations individuals came on the cruise and much new information was obtained.

A 1:40,000 hydrographic base map of the inlet was used as a reference tool when interviewing and a map containing archaeological site locations was usually taken along for information purposes. Questions focused on: past and present resource usage with in the Saanich Inlet; personal observations of this area's change over time, and concerns thereof; and future visions for Saanich Inlet.

Interviews took place both within group and individual situations almost exclusively with members of the Saanich Nation with the exceptions of Band Council members from Malahat and Cowichan. Also, an interview took place with Jimmy Gilbert, a non-Aboriginal, long-term Inlet resident who is very knowledgeable about Saanich Aboriginal history and traditions (See Appendix 1 for list of informants).

3.3 Results of Consultations and Interviews

Information from library and archival sources was augmented by direct interviews and consultations with Saanich elders, as well as data obtained from other researchers and knowledgeable individuals. We note here, that much of the data collected by past ethnolinguistic researchers within the study area, has focused on language, mythology and social organizations and is, by its nature, of limited use in attempting to reconstruct past land and water use. Another limiting factor of past research is a bias towards male activities and concentrating on only one or two elders for information. It is important to gather information from a broader spectrum of the Aboriginal community, recognizing that perceptions and knowledge about past activities and lifeways varies from person to person.

It was generally observed by all First Nations individuals contacted during the Saanich Inlet Overview Study, that they traditionally relied almost exclusively on the natural resources of Saanich Inlet and its surrounding upland areas for their subsistence, economic and cultural well-being. Subsistence resources included fresh and saltwater fish, octopus, seals, sea urchins, whales, shellfish, seaweed, land mammals, waterfowl, plant foods and medicinal species. Heavy reliance upon the natural resources of Saanich Inlet is evident in the amount of SENCOTEN and Halkomelem place names which correspond to an economic resource gathering activity.

A common observation among Saanich people interviewed, was the incredible amount of change that has occurred over a relatively short time period within the inlet. Apart from the aforementioned sanctions placed upon First Nations, such as restricted land access, some of the major changes which have occurred during the past fifty years include:

1. pollution of the water bodies and lands around Saanich Inlet
2. human encroachment in the form of development, resource extraction, and general invasion of privacy at sacred places and in other traditional-use areas
3. lack of employment due to loss of subsistence activities within the inlet and other activities such as a viable commercial fishery.

The effects of pollution on shellfish resources - whereby 12 out of 15 major clam areas have been closed for harvesting - is a dramatic example of how a major traditional food resource for the Inlet's Aboriginal people, has been lost. Sacred bathing pools have been polluted or put off limits by development and children are dissuaded from swimming in the ocean. There is also a perception by most people interviewed that oil leakage from freighters may be polluting beaches in Brentwood Bay and that most of the inlet's marine resources are in steady decline.

Traditional food and other natural resource gathering activities are no longer possible due to lack of access to many hunting and gathering areas - as a result of land alienation and private property restrictions. Fraser Smith has told us that he was out fishing on the inlet at least every second day last summer but failed to catch any salmon. This never occurred in the past when salmon and other fish species were plentiful.

People are concerned that the traditional structure of Saanich culture is threatened due to the loss of cultural traditions associated with natural resource use, spiritual activities and lost opportunities for aboriginal youths to experience traditional practices.

4.0 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The often-heard statement from First Nations people that culture and the land are inextricably bound together, is certainly
true for the Saanich Inlet Study area. Here, both in the past and in the present, traditional cultural activities such as hunting, fishing, shellfish gathering, food and medicinal plant gathering, spiritual practices and a host of other traditions are focused on the land and the sea. Yet, as has been shown in the foregoing sections of this report, it is almost impossible for First Nations people today to continue these activities due to land alienation, lack of free access, loss of natural resources and other effects of land and marine developments over the past century, or more. Much of the 5 - 6,000 year old history of the people of the inlet - in the form of archaeological sites - has been lost to development and natural erosion. Small islands that once served as sacred grave sites have been developed, or desecrated by vandals and curiosity seekers.

It is little wonder that there is a high level of anxiety and anger among the inlet people, due in large part, to a feeling that the traditional culture has been lost as a result of land alienation and disappearing natural resources. During our discussions with the First Nations people of the inlet, numerous individuals suggested that there should be a complete moratorium on land development and commercial marine harvesting within the inlet, pending additional studies of the effects of future development on First Nations traditional land-use and archaeological resources. Continued land development is also incompatible with the continuation of land claims and future treaty negotiations.

Of major concern amongst First Nations people is the problem of multiple government jurisdiction relating to development activity on the inlet. Four levels of government (Federal, Provincial, Regional and Municipal) presently control such activity, but there is little, or no, coordination or standardization of development controls. It is hoped that the present Saanich Inlet Study can act as a catalyst in the establishment of a plan to restrict and control development on the inlet and adjacent lands that could, among other things, preserve and protect areas of importance to a continuance of traditional First Nations land-uses.

Of special significance within the study area are the numerous remains of aboriginal camps, villages, processing areas and other features which make up the archaeological record of the inlet people. Eighty-one archaeological sites are recorded formally in the Saanich Inlet study area. Information on an additional 15 archaeological sites was gathered as a result of this study. The archaeological record for the study area has been summarized. This data is highly variable, both in terms of the quantity and quality of archaeological information contained on B.C. Archaeological Site Inventory forms. Certain limitations, therefore, are inherent in any subsequent use made of the archaeological record in this region. However, the study area is rich in archaeological information and every effort must be made to ensure that the archaeological record is protected and thereby preserved for future generations.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are based, in part, on our own analysis of the results of the Aboriginal Consultation and Cultural Heritage component of the Saanich Inlet Study, as well as on a consensus of recommendations that were expressed by the First Nations people who had a direct involvement in the project. These are, as follows:

- that a mechanism should be established whereby the First Nations people of the inlet can have an equal and meaningful role in any future studies;
- that the Aboriginal Heritage and Consultation component of the present study should continue and be expanded and that the First Nations groups with an interest in this work should have a more direct involvement;
- that a moratorium be placed on all development projects, including the exploitation of natural resources (e.g. commercial fishing, clam harvesting, etc.) until the Saanich Inlet Study and Growth Management Study have been completed and its final recommendations adopted;
- that a comprehensive inventory and assessment of all extant - as well as potential - archaeological heritage resources be carried out immediately, with the full involvement of the Saanich Inlet First Nations, and that all areas of known archaeological resources be excluded from future disturbance by the placement of legal covenants on property titles; and
- that efforts be made towards a plan that would safeguard areas of traditional First Nations land-use (e.g. sacred and spiritual areas, clam digging beaches, hunting territory, etc.) from future development, land alienation and access restrictions.
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List of Individuals Consulted

Tseycum   Chief Vern Jacks,
          Tillie Jacks,
          Gabe Jack,
          Joeseph Bill,
          and Lydia Pelke.

Tsartlip   Sammy Sam,
          Tom Sampson,
          Fraser Smith,
          Simon Smith,
          Kevin Paul,
          Gabe Bartleman,
          Adelynne Claxton,
          Ivan Morris,
Philomena James,

Deanna Daniels,

John Sampson,

and Derek Sampson.

Pauquachin Chief Elmer Henry,

Diana Henry,

and Herman Henry.

Tsawout Harvey Underwood,

Earl Claxton Jr.,

Cecelia Thomas, and

Gus Underwood.

Malahat Chief Rod Modeste
and Robert Harry.

Cowichan    Wes Modeste and

Eamen Gaunt.

Others     Chief Cyril Livingstone,

Jimmy Gilbert,

Grant Keddie,

Laurie Acheson,

and Dr. Nancy Turner.

APPENDIX B

Place Names Attributed to Cultural Resource Sites of the Saanich, Malahat and Cowichan First Nations (This Appendix is available in the printed copy of this report only).

APPENDIX C: Species List

Fish Species

Oncorhynchus keta            chum/dog salmon
Oncorhynchus kisutch       coho salmon

Oncorhynchus nerka          sockeye salmon

Oncorhynchus tshawytscha    chinook/spring salmon

Oncorhynchus gorbuscha      humpback/pink salmon

Clupea harengus pallasi    herring

Anchoa sp.                  anchovies

Sebastes sp.                rock cod

Ophiodon elongatus          ling/green cod

Hippoglossus stenolepis    halibut

Gymnachirus sp.             "flatfish" - sole

Perca sp.                   perch

Squalus oncanthias          dogfish

Sculpin sp.                 bullhead

Octopus dofleini            octopus

Cetorhinus maximus          basking shark
### Oncorhynchus mokiss
- Rainbow trout

### Oncorhynchus clarki
- Cutthroat trout

### Osmerus sp.
- Smelt

### Shellfish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saxidomus giganteus</td>
<td>Butter clam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapes japonica</td>
<td>Manilla clam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinocardium nuttalli</td>
<td>Cockle clam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crassostrea gigas</td>
<td>Pacific (Japanese) oyster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrea lurida</td>
<td>Native oyster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balunus sp.</td>
<td>Barnacles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mytilas sp.</td>
<td>Mussels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer sp.</td>
<td>Crab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strongylocentrotus sp        sea urchin

Parastichopus californicus   sea cucumber

**Marine Mammals**

Orcinus orca                 killer whale

Megaptera novaeangliae       humpback whale

Phocoenoides sp.             porpoise

Phoca vitulina               harbour seal

Eumetopias jubatas           Steller sea lion

**Land Mammals**

Odocoileus sp.               deer

Cervus elaphus               elk
Canis lupis                  wolf

Oreamnos americanus          mountain goat

Mistela vison                mink

Procyon lotor                raccoon

**Birds and Waterfowl**

Mergus sp.                   merganser

Podiceps sp. and/or          grebe

Aechmophorus sp.

Phalocrocorax sp.            cormorant

Crovus sp.                   crow

Larus sp.                    seagull

Tyto alba and/or             owl (white)

Bubo virginianus

Dendragapus obscurus         blue grouse
## Flora

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ulva lactuce</td>
<td>sea lettuce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typha latifolia</td>
<td>bulrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zostera sp.</td>
<td>eelgrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camassia sp.</td>
<td>camas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomatium nudicaule</td>
<td>Indian consumption plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubus spectabilis</td>
<td>salmonberry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gautheria shallon</td>
<td>salal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinium sp.</td>
<td>huckleberry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thuja plicata</td>
<td>western red cedar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxus brevifolia</td>
<td>western yew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirea sp.</td>
<td>spirea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Species Mentioned**

- **Populus ssp. trichocarpa** black cottonwood
- **Alectoria sarmentosa** common witch's hair lichen
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